



IAMT Report and Executive Summary on SMPTE Standards Committee Meetings 8-10 June 2026

connect | support | inform

This meeting round was hosted by Mobile TV Group - Mountain Media Center, Denver, CO, USA



This report is a confidential IAMT member resource

These quarterly meetings are held to progress the development and maintenance of SMPTE standards.

The IAMT sends a representative to attend these meetings on behalf of members.

The seven SMPTE Technology Committees (TCs) all scheduled meetings at this round and they are:

Essence	TC-10E	Network and Facilities Architecture	TC-32NF
Cinema	TC-27C	Media Systems, Control and Services	TC-34CS
Metadata and Registers	TC-30MR	Media Packaging and Interchange	TC-35PM
File Formats and Systems	TC-31FS		

Typically, there are two online meeting rounds and two face-to-face meeting rounds per year.



Mountain Media Center

In addition to the TC meetings, there was a meeting of the Standards Community that covered:

- SMPTE Standards Team
- 2026/7 TC Meetings
- SMPTE Media Technology Summit (MTS) 2026
- Study Group and Taskforce reports and AI in Standards
- Projects App update

Future Quarterly Standards meeting rounds are planned for:

Q3 2026 16-18 September - EBU, Geneva, Switzerland

Q4 2026 7-11 December - Auckland, New Zealand

Q1 2027 1-5 March - Online

Q2 2027 June - TBA; intention is a face-to-face meeting in North America

Introduction and New Project Proposals

This report contains an **Executive Summary**, followed by a **Detailed Report**, focusing on a selection of projects thought to be of interest to members.

If this is the first time you have used one of these IAMT reports (or need a refresher), there is a **page** at the end of the report that explains the SMPTE Standards process, Technology Committees and the obscure acronyms used (I try to expand them out, but sometimes miss one)!

The IAMT also has a Standards Monitoring Group (SMG), whose website pages are regularly updated as the featured projects progress. **More information update** about this service that helps members to be more involved with development of relevant standards.

Between the quarterly meetings, we attend telecons for relevant standards projects and we issue technology news items **on this page update**.

We also update the SMG project information.

There is a list of SMPTE Engineering documents published in the last quarter at the **end of this report**.

We welcome feedback on these resources to help us fine-tune the projects we study and report on. We also welcome enquiries about any aspects of SMPTE standards.

SMPTE now has a searchable publicly-available **project summary page** that should help locate topics of interest.

If members want details of specific projects, I can supply them – paul.treleaven@theiamt.org

Proposals for new projects submitted in the last quarter

Project Name	Document Type	SMPTE Group	Approval Period Ends	Notes
10 New projects! SMPTE 2110 family Protocol Implementation Conformance Statements (PICS)	Recommended Practices	Network Architecture	2026-06-10	Administrative catch-up to document projects that are underway. PICS for 2110 parts 10.20.21,22,24,30,31,40,41,43
Format for Non-PCM Audio and Data in AES3 - Data Types	Revised Standard	Network Architecture		Add MPEG AAC IIF DataType
ITU-T t.35 codes and register	New Standard	Metadata	2026-06-15	This standard specifies requirements for the presentation, registration and management of SMPTE specific ITU-T T.35 codes in a public administrative register.
Revision-ST-2094-60-Dynamic-Range-Conversion-Characterization-Metadata	Revised Standard	Essence	2026-04-27	Revision will provide an SEI message for carriage of the ST 2094-60 metadata already specified. HTML document workflow will be used.
Descriptive Metadata Scheme for Identity and Integrity JUMBF approach	New Standard	File Systems	2026-04-06	This is one of three new parts planned for the ST 2140 suite

In addition to these formal project proposals, there was a presentation on Delta Protocol, an IBC accelerator project in which SMPTE may take an active role. Put simply, it is about creating an LLM trained on media data – a domain specific language model (DSLML).

Part 1 – Executive Summary

Our full report follows this summary [here](#) in Part 2

Content Provenance and Authenticity Details in [Part 2](#)

There are two SMPTE projects on this increasingly important topic

Study Group on Content Provenance and Authenticity

This group is researching requirements for all stages of media workflow to ensure that all use cases are included. A survey was launched just before IBC 2025 and it has received 126 responses with 79 use-cases (user stories). For the report, no further responses will be included, but they can still be submitted [here](#) and may be analyzed for future versions of the report.

Responses are being analyzed both by humans and by AI to make the data more manageable and the conclusions clearer.

Descriptive Metadata Scheme for Identity and Integrity

This project is developing and documenting an architecture, a data model and a detailed specification to carry Identity and Integrity metadata in MXF files. It is a suite of documents, comprising 5 parts.



Professional Media over IP Projects

These projects relate to Media Transport documents and Media Timing documents

Professional Media Transport over Managed IP Networks [Details here in Part 2](#)

This project group developed the ubiquitous ST 2110 suite that standardizes an interoperable system for media IP networks to transport separate video, audio, and associated data streams. The core part of the group's work has been stable for several years.

Twelve parts of the suite are published, including recent revisions.

System Timing and Definitions

Uncompressed Active Video

Traffic Shaping and Delivery Timing for Video

Constant Bit Rate Compressed Video

Single Video Essence Transport over Multiple ST 2110-20 Streams (to support high bitrate streams)

Measurement considerations for 2110 streams

A document tying down additional parameters for streaming standard definition video

PCM Digital Audio

Transparent AES3 Data (e.g. Dolby E or non-audio in AES3)

ST 291 Ancillary Data

Fast Metadata eXpress (FMX, for metadata that did not originate in SDI ancillary space)

Timed Text Markup Language for Captions and Subtitles

An application document for audio metadata transport that uses FMX has been published.

Current Projects:

- A new project to carry Isochronous Stream of XML documents over ST 2110-41

- Timing planes for 2110 streams
- A small correction to ST 2110-41 – see [advisory note](#).
- A document clarifying the interplay between data carried in Video Payload ID and data carried in Session Description Protocol
- Separate projects have created ST 2110 Protocol Implementation Conformance Statements (PICS) – one for each of the core 2110 documents.



Network-Based Synchronization for the Professional Media Environment [Details here](#) in Part 2

The ST 2059 suite defines a system for using media synchronization packets on an information technology (IT) network using Precision Time Protocol (PTP, IEEE1588v2). The core standards are:

Generation and Alignment of Interface Signals to the SMPTE Epoch

SMPTE Profile for Use of IEEE-1588 Precision Time Protocol in Professional Broadcast Application

PTP Device Monitoring Capabilities (provides interoperability in network monitoring and diagnostics and is YANG-based).

Engineering Guideline “Introduction to the New Synchronization System” (using ST 2059)

There are ongoing projects in support of PTP technology:

- The group that has organized ST 2059 “plugfests” is writing a Recommended Practice “PTP Best Practices for Professional Media Over Managed IP Networks”. There may be further plugfests focused on specific 2059 developments.
- A revision to the SMPTE profile has been released for a public Committee Draft period (available to the public for comment, [here](#)). It references and harmonizes with the most recent version of the Precision Time Protocol standard, IEEE 1588v2.1. It includes a plan for complying with new requirements whilst retaining backwards compatibility.



Interoperable Mastering Format (IMF) [Details here](#) in Part 2

IMF is a file-based mastering framework designed to support automatic generation of multiple high-quality content versions of a finished work destined for distribution channels worldwide. The suite comprises 22 published standards plus Registered Disclosure Documents (RDDs) on ProRes.

New IMF Documents and Revisions

IMF Application VC-5

- Defines an IMF application using VC-5 compression.

IMF Output Profile List standards (OPL) (in addition to published OPL standards)

- One revision and 3 new standards.

There is also new IMF work on:

Event based Metadata, Virtual Track Fingerprint, Auxiliary Image Sequence Track File.



SMPTE Video Compression Standards

SMPTE has standardized six video compression standards. VC-1 is Microsoft Windows Media Video. VC-2 is BBC's Dirac Pro. VC-3 is Avid DnxHD. VC-4 describes a Layered Video Extension Bitstream Format and Decoding Process. VC-5 is developed from GoPro's Cineform codec. VC-6 is V-Nova's Perseus codec.

Current work on video compression standards comprises:

VC-3. Two projects to revise SMPTE VC-3 documents and test materials to improve clarity and to add profiles to allow usage of RGB signals in other quality bitrates, such as HQ and SQ. The VC-3 mapping to MXF document will also be updated. A VC-3 IMF application was recently published.

Details [here](#) in Part 2.

VC-5. The VC-5 documents are stable but the VC-5 IMF and the VC-5 MXF documents are getting additions Details in Part 2: [IMF](#) and [MXF](#)



Material Exchange Format – MXF *Details here in Part 2*

This widely implemented file-based media format does not stand still and there are always projects adding features and mappings to the MXF suite of standards or creating constraints for improved interoperability in a variety of application areas.

There are currently 9 MXF-related projects in process, comprising:

- Extensible Time Label (TLX) in MXF via Descriptive Metadata Scheme for Compatible Time Labels (TLC) – 2 projects, one published mid-2025.
- Mapping Audio Definition Model to MXF
- Mapping DPX files into the MXF Generic Container
- MXF Mappings for VI Lines and Ancillary Data Packets (revision)
- Mapping VC-3 Coding Units into the MXF Generic Container
- Text-based metadata carriage in MXF (revision)
- Mapping VC-5 into the MXF Generic Container
- Descriptive Metadata for Color Volume Transform in KLV and MXF



Microservices for Media *Details here in Part 2*

The group has made good progress on standardizing a control-and-monitoring suite of standards called Catena (the IAMT has assisted in the early development of this work).

ST 2138-10 – Catena Model – at public Committee Draft
[here](#).

ST 2138-11 – Catena gRPC Connection

ST 2138-12 – Catena REST Connection

ST 2138-13 – Catena WSS Connection (deferred in favor of other parts)

ST 2138-19 – Catena Protocol Objects

Early work on new microservices standards is done in the Open Services Alliance, Work in the pipeline includes Best Practices for Stream Distribution (project approved); Global Service Repository.

ST 2138-50 – Catena Security – at public Committee Draft [here](#)

Other projects in the group:

- IMF Registration Service API has just completed public Committee Draft posting.
- Job Processing Architecture document is posted for public Committee Draft.
- An online SMPTE vocabulary resource (for terms used in its standards) - online [here](#).

AI and ML in Media [Details here in Part 2](#)

A joint task force with the Entertainment Technology Center has been studying this topic.

Your IAMT representative attends their meetings if you have questions. The report, ER 1011, (a significant revision of last year's ER 1010), has just been published [here](#) – a third issue is being planned for 2027.

As a result of the group's brainstorming to identify standardization topics, 3 AI metadata-related standards projects are underway.

Extensible Time Label (TLX) [Details in Part 2](#)

This project has drafted a Standard suite for a time label that overcomes the shortcomings of SMPTE ST 12 (higher frame rate support, time values greater than 24 hours) as well as supporting additional requirements of current systems and workflows with extensibility for future requirements.

For one application, a "Digital Birth Certificate" has been defined including a Source Ident.

Three documents were drafted; one document was recently published.

Two additional documents to define KLV Encoding and MXF Mapping for TLX have been developed. One of them, describing a Descriptive Metadata Scheme for Time Labels was published mid-2025.

Metadata and Registers

This TC (and its predecessor) has been maintaining metadata Universal Labels (ULs) on behalf of other SMPTE TCs (and other standards bodies) for the last 20+ years. ULs are embedded in files or streams to identify the content. Its registers are xml – based and comprise:

- Metadata Element Dictionary Structure
- Metadata Groups Register Structure
- SMPTE Labels Structure
- Types Dictionary Structure
- Essence

Requests for new ULs are balloted (target, twice a year) before inclusion in the xml registers. The group now has tools available to check the integrity of requests for new ULs. Four Standards are being revised to describe the xml registers process.

SDI Interfaces

No current development! The Working Group on SDI has been closed.

Other Projects

SMPTE Standards has a very large number of active projects – too many to cover in an executive summary even though they may be important to implementers. SMPTE has a searchable, publicly available, [project summary page](#) that should help locate topics of interest. Also, the Detailed report's contents link at the top of each page allows you to see more details on projects without

having to scroll right through the report.

If you have questions, we're very happy to [help](#).



Part 2 – Detailed Report

Our Executive Summary is [here](#)

PROJECTS COVERED IN-DEPTH BY OUR STANDARDS MONITORING GROUP (SMG)..... 11

Content Provenance and Authenticity	11
Study Group on Content Provenance and Authenticity	11
ST 2140 Suite - Descriptive Metadata Scheme for Identity and Integrity	12
ST 2120 Suite - Extensible Time Label (TLX)	13
ST 2120-1 – Extensible Time Label – Structure	13
ST 2120-2 – Extensible Time Label – Items	14
RP 2120-3 – Extensible Time Label – Profiles	14
ST 2120-4 - Extensible Time Label - Carriage of TLX in DMS-TLC	14
ST 2134: Descriptive Metadata Scheme for Compatible Time Labels (TLC)	14
Joint Task Force on Artificial Intelligence and Media	15
Three Projects on Standards related to AI	15
ST 2141 Metadata Generated by LLMs: Contextual and Versioning Standards	15
ST 2142 Embeddings as Metadata: Contextual and Non-Human Readable Fields	16
ST 2143 AI Model Metadata and Creation of a Centralized Model Registry	16
ST 2125 - IMF Registration API	17
ST 2133 - Job Processing Architecture	17
RP 2145 – Best Practices for Live Stream Distribution	17
ST 2138 suite – Catena	17
ST 2138-10 – Catena Model	17
ST 2138-11 – Catena gRPC Connection	18
ST 2138-12 – Catena REST Connection	18
ST 2138-13 – Catena WSS Connection	18
ST 2138-50 – Catena Authenticity, Integrity, Access-control, Confidentiality, Availability	18
Professional Media Transport over Managed IP Networks - ST 2110 suite	19
RDDxx - Mapping Isochronous Stream of XML Documents (ISXD) into an ST 2110-41 Fast Metadata stream	19
RP 2110-44 - VPID-SDP Interplay	20
RP 2110-11 – SMPTE 2110 System Timing Planes	20
Amendment: ST 2110-41 - Fast Metadata eXpress (FMX)	21
ST 2110 Protocol Implementation and Conformance Statement (PICS)	21
Network-based Timing and Synchronization projects - The SMPTE 2059 suite	23
ST 2059 PTP Interoperability and Best Practices	23
RP 2059-14: PTP Best Practices for Professional Media Over Managed IP Networks	24
Study Group on Security in ST 2059-2 Networks	24

ST 2059-2 SMPTE Profile for Time and Frequency Synchronization in a Professional Broadcast Environment 24

OTHER PROJECTS RELEVANT TO IAMT MEMBERS	26
Dynamic Metadata for Color Volume Transformation	26
ST 2094-50 Dynamic Metadata for Color Volume Transformation – Broadcast Application	26
ST 2094-60 Dynamic Metadata for Color Volume Transformation – Dynamic Range Conversion Characterization	26
RP 2094-61 SDR-HDR Conversion Metadata Characterization Procedure	26
Revision ST-2094-2 Dynamic Metadata for Color Volume Transform - KLV Encoding and MXF Mapping	27
Material Exchange Format (MXF) projects	27
TLX and TLC MXF mapping	28
ST 2131 - Mapping ADM to MXF	28
Mapping DPX files into the MXF Generic Container	28
Revision of ST 436-1 MXF Mappings for VI Lines and Ancillary Data Packets.	29
Revision ST 2019-4 Mapping VC-3 Coding Units into the MXF Generic Container	29
Revision RP 2057: Text-based metadata carriage in MXF	29
Revision ST 2073-10 - Mapping VC-5 Video Essence into the MXF Generic Container	29
Revision: RP 268-3 Reference Materials for DPX	30
Interoperable Master Format	31
Revision ST 2067-72 IMF Application VC-5	31
ST 2067-104 – OPL Composite and Blend Macros	32
ST 2067-105 – OPL Output Macros	32
ST 2067-106 – OPL EssenceType Transform Macros	32
ST 2067-203 - IMF Audio with Frame-based S-ADM Metadata Plug-in	32
ST 2067-204 IMF Audio with ADM Metadata Plug-in	32
ST 2067-205 IMF Auxiliary Image Sequence	Error! Bookmark not defined.
ST 2067-206 IMF Event-based, Text-based, Metadata Plug-in	33
ST 2067-207 IMF Video Viewports Metadata Plug-in	Error! Bookmark not defined.
ST 2067- 4 IMF - Virtual Track Fingerprint	33
Revision: ST 2067-101 OPL Image Macros	33
Revision: ST 2067-21 IMF – Application #2E	33
Archive eXchange Format projects (ST 2034 suite)	34
Revision ST 2034-1 Archive Exchange Format (AXF) - Structure & Semantics	34
ST 2034-2 Archive Exchange Format (AXF) - External Uses of XML Schema	35
AXFlib – Open-Source Toolkit for AXF	35
Individual Topics	35
VC-3 Compression Standard – VC-3 Improvements and New Profiles	35

Revision : ST 2019-1 – VC-3 Compression	35
Revision : RP 2019-2 – VC-3 Reference Materials Clarifications required to resolve some ambiguities in the current VC-3 standard are to be reflected in the reference software. New profiles for RGB signal handling required for supporting graphics dedicated workflows will generate new test materials, which the group will produce in full. Public CD was used.	36
ST 2136 suite - Common Look-up-table (LUT) Format	36
ST 2136-1: Common LUT Format – Core Specification	36
ST 2136-10: Common LUT Format - Broadcast Profiles	36
ST 2135 JSON Representation of SMPTE Registered Data (RegJSON)	37
RP 2130 - Measurement Methods for Resolution Characteristics of Camera Systems	37
Visible Difference Predictors	38
ST 2144-1 Visible Difference Predictors: Definition	38
ST 2144-10 Visible Difference Predictors: ColorVideo VDP	38
ST 2144-20 Visible Difference Predictors: FoVVideo VDP	38
RP 2144-100 Visible Difference Predictors: Subjective Validation	38
Metadata Projects	39
OTHER PROJECTS IN BRIEF	40
Active Format Description – SMPTE 2016 suite	40
ST 2016-1 - Format for Active Format Description and Bar Data	40
ST 2046-1 - Safe Areas for Television	40
Reference Display and Environment for Critical Viewing of Television Pictures - SMPTE 2080 suite	41
RP 2080-4: Measurement Procedures for Characterization of HDTV Displays	41
RP 2080-2 Measurement and Calibration Procedure for HDTV Display Luminance Levels and Chromaticity	41
RPxxxx: Measurement of Video Display Reflectance	41
ST 2128 - IPT-PQ color representation	42
ST 12-4 - UTC Aligned Timecode	42
RECENT SMPTE ENGINEERING DOCUMENT PUBLICATIONS	43
SMPTE STANDARDS PROCESS AND ABBREVIATIONS	45

Projects Covered In-depth by our Standards Monitoring Group (SMG)

These projects have been selected because they are topics of potential importance to our industry and deserve additional attention. As well as attending the quarterly SMPTE face-to-face meeting rounds, the IAMT attends the document development telecons for these projects. Members are encouraged to propose other SMPTE projects for extra attention.

If you want to see - and comment on - the documents as they develop [join our Standards Monitoring Group \(SMG\)](#).

Individual Topics

Content Provenance and Authenticity

There are two activities on this subject.

Study Group on Content Provenance and Authenticity

Parent Group: Standards Community

This group started up when it was found that the project below required more guidance on use cases, to ensure that ST 2140 will cover all user requirements. A survey of requirements was issued and 127 responses were received, covering 80 user-stories (which give perspective on the part of the production process that the respondent is working in). The group is holding meetings to analyze the responses. In order to get the report under way, it was decided that it would work with the 127 responses – though the survey is still available if members wish to contribute:

<https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/7B3CGW9> or scan the QR code; any additional responses may be analyzed in a future report.

The questions are not limited to MXF applications but cover all stages of workflow development.

Status at this meeting:


Considerable progress has been made on the report using both AI and human analysis of the responses and reviewing the analysis in a series of bi-weekly meetings.

SG on CPA in Media

Next Steps

- Finish survey conclusions
- Publish first report
- Continue work on technology and SMPTE standards
- Write second report with recommendations for standards work

Next Meeting: 15th of June 2026, 10:00 AM ET

The home of media professionals, technologists, and engineers

The group Chair's slide (left) shows the plan for completion of Study Group work.

Considerable progress has been made on the report using both AI and human analysis of the responses and reviewing the analysis in a series of bi-weekly meetings.

ST 2140 Suite - Descriptive Metadata Scheme for Identity and Integrity

Technology Committee: *File Formats & Systems*

The DMS-II project will develop and document an architecture, a data model and a detailed specification to carry Identity and Integrity metadata in ST 377 MXF files using the ST 336 KLV Protocol.

The data model and specification will include the categories listed above.

The specification for bindings will address MXF media structures including I frame and long GOP media and Frame wrapped, Clip wrapped and Partitioned files.

This project implements a method for carrying C2PA Identity and Integrity data as descriptive metadata in MXF files.

There is a current requirement for Identity and Integrity Metadata to be carried in professional media files throughout the production process. Professional media file formats include at least ST 377 MXF.

This metadata must be inserted as soon as it is available (as early as camera crew assignment) and must persist throughout the production chain - through Ingest, Editing, Packaging and Playout. At each stage of the production chain it must be possible to augment and modify media and metadata.

Status at this meeting:

The Drafting Group has held 4 well-attended meetings in the last quarter. This work has attracted international participants.

international participants.

It was decided in the last month that the work should be split into individual parts, and new projects have been proposed for:

ST 2140-2 KLV Framework


ST 2140-3 JUMBF

ST 2140-4 KLV Bindings

ST 2140-5 DMS-II Identity JUMBF is JPEG. Universal Metadata Box Format

Part 1 is retained as the Framework document.

The diagram, left, shows relationships between the elements of C2PA.



ST 2120 Suite - Extensible Time Label (TLX)

Technology Committee:
Network Facilities and Architecture
Also includes TLC work in Technology Committee File Formats & Systems

Extensible Time Label TLX

This project has created:

ST 2120-1 – Extensible Time Label – System (published)

ST 2120-2 – Extensible Time Label – Items

ST 2120-3 – Extensible Time Label – Profiles

Time Label History

Groups have been working towards a new time label ever since publication of the SMPTE / EBU Task Force report [ER 0986](#) in 2008. That work was started because the ubiquitous SMPTE ST 12 timecode no longer had capacity to label every frame of image formats such as 1080p60. It was also unable to represent durations greater than 24 hours (somewhat less important, but convenient for shoots that run across midnight).

An interim solution was introduced in the form of ST 12-3 that uses binary groups to allow higher frame rates to be labeled. In 2016, there was additional outreach to users in a series of “Time Label Summits” – report [ER1002](#), [here](#).

At the end of 2022, Parts 1, 2, 3 passed Final Committee Draft (FCD) ballot, with 15, 36, 25 comments to resolve respectively.

These documents (and possibly more) are required to facilitate the extensibility. New items can be

Status of TLX core parts at this meeting:

The group has held 10 meetings in the last quarter.

Part 1 was published in Q1 2026.

The current focus is on Part 2.

Part 4 is underway in the File Systems group. It will be based on a recently published standard ST 2134, detailed right. It is also dependent on ST 2120-2 completion. Unfortunately, it could take some time before ST 2120-2 reaches publication because it may require a second FCD ballot.


There are further details on the four ST 2120 parts below.

ST 2120-1 – Extensible Time Label – Structure

This standard describes the structure of extensible time labels (TLX) and the relationship to media essence. See structure diagram from Part 1, right. ST 2120-1 provides the foundation for ST 2120-2 (TLX Items) and ST 2120-3 (TLX Profiles).

Status at this meeting:

Published (retained here to give context to other 2120 parts).



ST 2120-2 – Extensible Time Label – Items

SMPTE ST 2120-2 specifies a number of TLX items as defined by SMPTE ST 2120-1 that can be assembled within TLX time labels. Each TLX item is an independent entity. The table (from Part 2) shows the attributes associated with the **TLXmediaCount** item. The document includes a JSON schema.

Name	Type	Constraints	Required/Optional	Description
count	integerType	$0 \leq \text{count} < 10^{15}$	required	index of a media unit in a sequence
rate	rationalType	numerator ≥ 0	optional	divisor, in media units per second, to be used when converting count to a time or time-like representation

Status at this meeting:

Part 2 is in comment resolution: 36 comments (including late comments) – all are addressed, awaiting acceptance. The Chair advises that a second FCD ballot is expected.

RP 2120-3 – Extensible Time Label – Profiles

This document lists the currently Registered TLX Profiles. For each Registered TLX Profile, this document specifies a unique profile name and corresponding constraints for TLX labels, including item and attribute presence and values. The table (from Part 3) shows the constraints required by the Digital Birth Certificate profile. The document includes a JSON schema.

TLX Item/Attribute	Value	Required/Prohibited
TLXuniqueSourceID	any	Required
TLXmediaCount	any	Required
TLXptpTimestamp	any	Required

Status at this meeting:

Part 3 is in comment resolution: 25 comments (including late comments) – 22 addressed, all in progress. Substantive comment resolution for Part 3 awaiting completion of Part 2.

ST 2120-4 - Extensible Time Label - Carriage of TLX in DMS-TLC

This document was originally called Extensible Time Label - KLV Encoding and MXF Mapping; however, the group has chosen to achieve the mapping via a new Descriptive Metadata Scheme – described in the project below.

Both projects are underway in the File System TC.

Status at this meeting:

The ST 2120-4 working draft had a dependence on ST 2134 that has now been published. However, it is also waiting for ST 2120-2 comment resolution and possible rebalot to complete.

ST 2134: Descriptive Metadata Scheme for Compatible Time Labels (TLC)

Specify an architecture to support multiple schemes for time labels and for collections of time labels that is compatible with MXF and KLV and permits the representation and serialization of these labels in MXF, KLV, XML and JSON. Specify at least one such scheme (besides TLX).

This can be thought of as a stepping-stone between ST 2120-4 and MXF.

Status at this meeting:

Published May 28th 2025.



Joint Task Force on Artificial Intelligence and Media

This joint task force between SMPTE and the Entertainment Technology Center started September 2020. Further information on the project is posted [here](#).

The group's first report, ER 1010: Artificial Intelligence and Media, is completed and published [here](#).

The revised report, ER 1011: Artificial Intelligence and Media, is completed and published [here](#).

The group spawned three standards projects, covered below.

Status at this meeting:

It is now working on a further revision (i.e. #3) to the report, targeting publication in 2027. This reflects the rapid pace of change in the AI landscape and in the application of AI to media. In particular, it has identified the following:

- Update regulatory and standards landscape

- Update ethics and security considerations

- Add information on newer models

- Enhance agentic AI section with new media-related use cases

- Improve coverage of AI audio generation

The group has approved an industry-wide survey to be sent out to SMPTE membership as well as other organizations. This is expected to run for 3-5 months, with the results published in the next report revision.

There was also a session introducing "Delta Protocol" that is an IBC Accelerator project. It is likely that this Task Group will be the place where SMPTE makes its contribution to this work. I have a slide deck available – members should **contact me** if they wish to know more. We would need to identify the standards that are needed to implement the Delta Protocol. This **IBC accelerator page** may be useful.

Chapter structure of the revised report:

1. Scope
2. Overview of Machine Learning and Open Source AI
3. Deep Learning
4. Supervised Learning
5. Unsupervised Learning
6. Self-supervised Learning
7. Reinforcement Learning
8. Generative AI
9. MCP and A2A: Complementary Protocols for AI Interoperability
10. Security in AI systems
11. The Impact of AI on the Media Industry
12. AI Ethics
13. AI standards landscape
14. Opportunities for new AI/ML standards
15. Datasets and the need for data
16. Conclusion
17. Acknowledgements

Three Projects on Standards related to AI

Technology Committee: Metadata and Registers

Status of three projects at this meeting:


Initial work is concentrating on ST 2143 - the model and the registry. A draft of this document is being worked through in the drafting group. See the status slide below.

ST 2141 Metadata Generated by LLMs: Contextual and Versioning Standards

The project will define the necessary metadata fields for LLM-generated content, including context, model version, prompt, hyperparameters and confidence scores. It will also develop guidelines for capturing and storing this metadata to ensure traceability and reproducibility.

ST 2142 Embeddings as Metadata: Contextual and Non-Human Readable Fields

The project will focus on defining the metadata required for embeddings, including generation context, model parameters and other relevant information. It will also investigate methods to ensure interoperability of embeddings between different systems.



ST 2143 AI Model Metadata and Creation of a Centralized Model Registry

Scope:

- Define a standardized metadata schema for AI models
- Develop guidelines for metadata creation and management
- Create a centralized database for registering and storing AI model metadata
- Establish processes for model registration, updates, and version control
- Develop APIs and tools for interacting with the model registry

AI System Metadata – Status
 Chair: Fred Walls and Alex Rouxel | Editor: Alex Rouxel

5.4.3. ST 2143 AI System Metadata and Creation of a Centralized Model Registry

The group has reviewed and improved the draft on :

- Administrative Metadata
- Registration
- Identifier Specification
- Model Registry Service

Next steps :

- Finalize Use Case Highlights
- Finalize Conclusion

9 June 2026 Plenary

Media Microservices

Technology Committee: Media Systems, Control and Services



Perspective: SMPTE work on this topic standardizes work developed in the Open Services Alliance,

OSA, and submitted to SMPTE. The slide covers the latest progress within OSA.

New Projects from OSA

- Best Practices for Live Stream Distribution
 - RP 2145 – much work offline. Expect review in OSA shortly – awaiting input from document editor.
- Global Service Repository
 - Ideally follows finalization of Job Processing Architecture and once Live Stream Distribution project is well underway.

Members may wish to view this **IAMT Webinar** on Microservices.

A SMPTE online vocabulary (based on terms needed by microservices projects) is now freely available [here](#).

The Microservices Working Group has published ST 2126: Microservice Status Reporting and Logging

Current Projects

ST 2125 - IMF Registration API

IMF is widely adopted in our industry, but we lack any standardized method of interacting with IMF packages using microservices. This project creates standardized microservices IMF Registration Service API. Specifically, create resources including registers, controlled vocabularies as required for a minimum viable implementation of the service. Include normative references, terms and definitions, any necessary normative and informative text, and copies of any code or schemas.

Status at this meeting:

The project's public CD comment period has just concluded (the files are still available [here](#) on GitHub). Revision to the document in the light of PCD comments is partially complete but currently paused by the retirement of the document editor.

ST 2133 - Job Processing Architecture

Aims to overcome variations in existing Job Processing Architectures that cause interoperability problems. The document includes a YAML file.

Status at this meeting:

The document is at public CD [here](#), with the comment period closing 3 July 2026.

RP 2145 – Best Practices for Live Stream Distribution

The project will provide a set of best practices and recommendations to help to simplify the two main forms of live stream distribution (point to point and origin), including real-world use cases, while helping to identify and provide mitigation for security threats inherent in these models.

Status at this meeting:

Awaiting document from OSA (work remains to be done before it can be brought to this standards group).

ST 2138 suite – Catena

This suite of documents will define the Catena control system.

SMPTE has created a "[landing page](#)" for ST 2138 that includes an introduction, Public CD links and FAQ's.

Status at this meeting:

The status of all current Catena documents (except part 13, see below) is shown in the slide; essentially all are posted for Public CD comment.

ST 2138-10 – Catena Model

Produce a document describing the Catena interface, a standardized control plane for media devices and services.

Status at this meeting:

Public CD [here](#). Review period ending no later than September 1, 2026. Currently 13 issues posted.

New Projects from OSA

- Catena
 - Document Suite:
 - [ST 2138-00](#) - Overview
 - [ST 2138-10](#) - Catena - Model (PCD)
 - [ST 2138-11](#) - Catena - gRPC Connection (PCD)
 - [ST 2138-12](#) - Catena - REST connection (PCD)
 - Easier view of REST interface available here: <https://petstore.swagger.io?url=https://raw.githubusercontent.com/rossvideo/Catena/main/interface/openapi/openapi.yaml#/>
 - [ST 2138-19](#) - Catena Model (PCD)
 - [ST 2138-50](#) - Authenticity, etc. (PCD)
 - SMPTE GitHub - up and available at <https://github.com/SMPTE/st2138-a>
 - Ross Catena GitHub already up and public (<https://github.com/rossvideo>)



The home of media professionals, technologists, and engineers

An IAMT 'Control Plane group' met at IBC 2024. There was a lot of interest from a lot of companies.

The group has continued to meet online and it has held some joint meetings with the Catena RIS-OSA group.

It has met at subsequent shows.

All IAMT members are welcome - details on this [IABM Website page](#).

ST 2138-11 – Catena gRPC Connection

Produce a document describing the Catena gRPC (generic Remote Procedure Call) connection method.

Status at this meeting:

Public CD [here](#). Review period ending no later than September 1, 2026. Currently 6 issues posted.

ST 2138-12 – Catena REST Connection

Produce a document describing the Catena REST (Representational State Transfer) connection method

Status at this meeting:

Public CD [here](#). Review period ending no later than September 1, 2026. Currently 6 issues posted.

ST 2138-13 – Catena WSS Connection

Produce a document describing the Catena WSS (WebSocket Secure) connection method. This part is in hiatus to evaluate whether it is needed.

Status at this meeting:

Being held in abeyance; it is not certain that this document is needed, but the group wants to hold the project open.

ST 2138-50 – Catena Authenticity, Integrity, Access-control, Confidentiality, Availability

Was titled “Security”, but that was too broad.

Status at this meeting:

Public CD [here](#). Review period ending no later than September 1, 2026. Currently 6 issues posted.



Professional Media Networks Projects

Technology Committee: Network and Facilities Architecture

Professional Media Transport over Managed IP Networks - ST 2110 suite

ST 2110 is well-established, with a large number of installations. However, with a topic as large as this, implementers keep finding more things that would benefit from standardization – this trend has dwindled now!

This group meets weekly (currently, alternate meeting weeks are devoted to developing its RP 2110-11 on System Timing Planes – see below).

New SMPTE 2110 document development projects

RDDxx - Mapping Isochronous Stream of XML Documents (ISXD) into an ST 2110-41 Fast Metadata stream

Specify a method of mapping an Isochronous Stream of XML Documents (ISXD) to an RTP transport using the SMPTE ST 2110-41 Fast Metadata framework

Status at this meeting:

The project was approved 2nd March 2026. There was no report, though being a Registered Disclosure Document, the work is often completed by the proponent without a group being involved.

Professional Media over Managed IP Networks; ST 2110

Perspective: ST 2110 is ubiquitous in the industry. A substantial part of the suite (the parts that create RTP streams for all content carried by SDI) has been complete for several years; see below. The earlier ST 2022 suite of standards dealt with the encapsulation of the whole SDI stream for transport over IP and has been in use for many years. ST 2022-7 provides seamless protection switching of all RTP datagrams.

Published ST 2110 Documents:

ST 2110-10: System Timing and Definitions

Published Q4 2017, one-year revision published Q4 2022

ST 2110-20: Uncompressed Active Video

Published Q4 2017, one-year revision published Q4 2022

ST 2110-21: Traffic Shaping and Delivery Timing for Video

Published Q4 2017, one-year revision published Q4 2022

ST 2110-22: Constant Bit Rate Compressed Video

Published Q3 2019, one-year revision published Q4 2022

RP 2110-23: Single Video Essence Transport over Multiple ST 2110-20 Streams

Published Q1 2020

RP 2110-24: Standard Definition Video in ST 2110

Published Q2 2023

RP 2110-25 – Measurement Practices (for 2110 streams)

Published Q3 2023

ST 2110-30: PCM Digital Audio

Published Q4 2017, minor revision published Q4 2025

ST 2110-31: AES3 Transparent Transport

Published Q3 2018, one-year revision published Q4 2022

ST 2110-40: Ancillary Data

Published Q2 2018, one-year revision published Q2 2023; correction published Q2 2024 but retaining 2023 date to avoid SDP error

ST 2110-41: Fast Metadata eXpress (FMX)

Published Q2 2024, small correction under way

ST 2110-43 Timed Text Markup Language for Captions and Subtitles

Published Q3 2021

ST 2022-8: Timing of ST 2022-6 Streams in ST 2110-10 Systems

Published Q2 2019. This was originally going to be a 2110 suite document, hence its development in the 2110 group

ST 2127-2 - Mapping MGA Audio Metadata to ST 2110-41

Published Q2 2024 and developed by this group

RP 2110-44 - VPID-SDP Interplay

Most SDI signals, by rule, include a VPID (Video Payload Identifier) within the ancillary data space. Within the ST 2110 system, information about the video signals is conveyed through management systems using SDP objects, and this information includes some of the data that also exists in the VPID. With workflows involving transfers between SDI and 2110 (sometimes multiple times), there is confusion about which form of this data to use.

This document establishes recommended practices for the interplay of SDP and VPID information in ST2110 systems. This project will define a consensus practice.

Status at this meeting:

Underway. The document is being drafted along the lines of an outline approach that was agreed in the drafting group (see slide, above).

What does the RP 2110-44 WD say?

- Reminders about existing standards
 - 2110-20 Senders must offer an SDP, and it must be correct
 - SDI transmitters (in most cases) must include a correct VPID
 - The expressive power of the SDI VPID is limited
 - 2110-40 Senders are not required to send VPID in the 2110-40
- Recommendations (for senders)
 - If you send a VPID in a 2110-40 stream it must be correct
 - (and so) If VPID cannot correctly represent the video, don't send it
 - (and especially) the VPID "unknown"/"undefined" fields don't change this
 - If the video signal properties change, any VPID in a -40 shall update quickly
- Recommendations (for receivers)
 - Normal Mode = The SDP prevails, any VPID in 2110-40 is ignored
 - Optional "VPID Mode" = If you get a VPID in -40, (in some cases) it supersedes the SDP

RP 2110-11 – SMPTE 2110 System Timing Planes

Draft a Recommended Practice that defines a workable ecosystem to provide 'automatic' reconciliation of media essence timing at any point along a production chain.


This practice will specify additional behaviors of media devices using controls available in ST 2110-10. While 2110 suite documents describe device interfaces, some additional practices are required to address inter-essence timing alignment at a system level. It is intended that delays in all the steps up to the processing point (red line in proponent's slide, next page) should be automatically compensated.

It has been agreed that it would have been beneficial to have included this information in the original 2110 documents.

Status at this meeting:

There has been an interruption to this work, and there has been no further progress for many meeting cycles. The proponent still wants to complete the work.

If it restarts, it is likely that a control system will be needed to work with processing delays, so liaisons were sent to AMWA, whose NMOS IS-09 and NMOS IS-12 may be useful, and to VSF.



2110 Document revision projects

Perspective: It may be a concern that these documents have been revised. Backwards compatibility? To ease these concerns, each revised document contains a Foreword describing all the changes. The revisions were focused on clarifying requirements that had shown to be interpreted differently between implementers during interop sessions.

The following revision / amendment projects are underway:

Amendment: ST 2110-41 - Fast Metadata eXpress (FMX)

After ST 2110-41 was published in Q2 2024, an error in an Annex was identified and an **advisory note** has been posted. This project has been initiated to revise ST 2110-41 to make these corrections. The DG already determined how to resolve the errors when it issued the advisory note. This document should be updated to reflect these resolutions. A register for ST 2110-41 Data Item Types has been set up [here](#) and a requested code range for IPMX has been added.

Status at this meeting:

The revision passed Draft Publication vote 2 June and will be sent for ST Audit (the last stage before publication).

Other SMPTE 2110 – related projects

ST 2110 Protocol Implementation and Conformance Statement (PICS)

Perspective: This may prove important for IAMT members making ST 2110 products if they start to get customer requests for a completed PICS. It is helpful to identify when a product does not comply with all requirements of a standard.

A PICS is like a checklist of compliance items – a collection of the normative bits from the related standard, in a regimented format designed for reporting. This project has created a PICS for each of the core documents in the ST 2110 suite.

Each clause of the standard is broken down into individual statements and the PICS gives instructions on how compliance with that statement should be indicated. The color coding for requirement levels is shown in the table (right).

Requirement Level	Requirement Language
1	Shall (requirement), when applicable to all implementations
1	Shall (requirement), when applicable to a subset of implementations
2	Should (strong suggestion)
3	May (permission)
4	Informative or test not needed

Each PICS document number will be 100 greater than the related standard – e.g. PICS for ST 2110-10 will be RP 2110-110.

The table (right) is extracted from the draft ST 2110-20 PICS; it indicates the general format and the color coding of requirement levels.

The group's early brief review of -10, -20, -21, -31 and -40 provided feedback to the 2110 group and requested improvements have been incorporated in the now-published Standards.

Statement Number	Feature	Requirement Level	Notes	Supported
6.1.2-6	For interlaced video, the marker bit shall be set to 1 to denote when this RTP packet is the last packet carrying video essence data for a video field. The marker bit shall be set to 0 for all other packets.	1	Does the device support interlaced video? Mark as supported if in the RTP packets carrying interlaced video, that the device sends or is capable to receive and interpret, the marker bit is set to 1 to denote when this RTP packet is the last packet carrying video essence data for a video field. AND The marker bit is set to 0 for all other packets.	Yes [] No [] Yes [] No []
6.1.2-7	The RTP header sequence number field shall contain the 16 low order bits of the extended 32-bit RTP packet sequence counter.	1	Mark as supported if in the RTP packets, that the device sends or is capable to receive and interpret, the RTP header sequence number field contains the 16 low order bits of the extended 32-bit RTP packet sequence counter	Yes [] No []
6.1.2-8	When this bit (Extension bit - X) is set, an RTP header extension is present immediately following the SSRC field.	4	Mark as supported if in case this bit (Extension bit - X) is set, an RTP header extension is present immediately following the SSRC field.	Yes [] No []
6.1.2-9	If present, the header extension shall be compliant to IETF RFC 8285.	1	Mark as supported if in the RTP packets, that the device sends or is capable to receive and interpret, in case Extension bit is present, the header extension is compliant to IETF RFC 8285.	Yes [] No []
6.1.3-1	The Media Clock, RTP Clock, and RTP Timestamps shall comply with the provisions of SMPTE ST 2110-10.	1	Mark as supported if the device's Media Clock, RTP Clock, and RTP Timestamps generated by the device comply with the provisions of SMPTE ST 2110-10.	Yes [] No []
6.1.3-2	The RTP Clock rate for streams compliant to this standard shall be 90 kHz.	1	Mark as supported if the RTP Clock rate for streams compliant to this standard that are generated or interpreted by the device is 90 kHz.	Yes [] No []

Status at this meeting:

The status of the full set of PICS documents is shown on the DG Chair's slide, right. Comment resolution is underway. Comments from the votes range from 0 to 43 and it is likely that some comments made against one document apply equally to others, too. I think the focus of those involved has moved on to other things, so not expecting quick resolution and publication.

PICS for 2110-x (Chair: Kondratenko)

- Protocol Interoperability Check Sheet (PICS) – checklist of compliance items
- RP 2110-110 – FCD ballot passed 6/2023 (and again 4/2025)
- RP 2110-120 – FCD ballot passed 6/2023 (and again 4/2025)
- RP 2110-121 – FCD ballot passed 6/2023 (and again 4/2025)
- RP 2110-122 – FCD ballot passed 6/2023 (and again 4/2025)
- RP 2110-124 – FCD ballot passed 11/2023
- RP 2110-130 – FCD ballot passed 4/2025
- RP 2110-131 – FCD ballot passed 11/2023
- RP 2110-140 – FCD ballot passed 11/2023
- RP 2110-141 – FCD ballot passed 4/2025
- RP 2110-143 – FCD ballot passed 11/2023

THE NEXT CENTURY

ALL of these documents are sitting in FCD comment resolution



Network-based Timing and Synchronization projects - The SMPTE 2059 suite

New document projects

ST 2059 PTP Interoperability and Best Practices

Current Projects:

ST 2059 Interops

The purpose of the group is to confirm that provisions of the standards are unambiguous and that the technology yields the intended results. The Interop DG itself is open to all SMPTE Standards Community members, but its Testing AHG and attendance at the interop meetings is subject to signing a non-disclosure agreement and memorandum of understanding.

This is an ongoing project through a series of interops (5 to-date).

Reports from previous interops (where available) are on this SMPTE [website page](#).

Status at this meeting:

The group's main work is RP 2059-14; see below.

It continues looking for opportunities for small focused interops for specific standards, e.g.:

- YANG Model – RP 2059-15
- ST 2059 new TLV and backwards compatibility
- ST 2059 security

The SMPTE 2059 suite on Timing and Synchronization

Perspective: In 2015, SMPTE published an IP network-based synchronization solution using Precision Time Protocol (PTP, [IEEE1588v2](#)) constrained by a SMPTE PTP profile.

An advantage of this approach is that it can use the same IP network that is used for essence streaming and the system supports ALL line/frame frequencies.

Note that [IEEE 1588 v2.1](#) was published in 2019. [This IEEE page](#) is very useful, and includes an article on differences between v2 and v2.1.

There is a SMPTE project considering further revising the ST 2059 suite to reference v2.1 and implement any enhancements that are useful. It is also good practice to normatively reference the latest version of a document to avoid users having to obtain an old version – unless the latest version includes requirements that are not supported.

For tutorial material, members might want to look through IABM IP showcase presentations [here](#).

Published Documents:


ST 2059-1: The SMPTE Epoch and Generation and Alignment of Interface Signals Published Q2 2015. Revision published Q2 2021.

ST 2059-2: Precision Time Protocol SMPTE Profile for Time and Frequency Synchronization in a Professional Broadcast Environment Published Q4 2015. Revision published Q2 2021.

EG 2059-10: Introduction to the New Synchronization System. Published Q2 2016. Revision published Q3 2023

RP 2059-15 YANG Data Model for ST 2059-2 PTP Device Monitoring in Professional Broadcast Applications. Published Q4 2023

RP 2059-14: PTP Best Practices for Professional Media Over Managed IP Networks



This document started life in another group but was never finished. The ST 2059 PTP Interoperability and Best Practices drafting group has taken it over and expanded the topics covered.

The diagram (left) is from the Best Practices - PTP Configuration section.

- Scope
- Normative References
- Conformance Notation
- Terms and Definitions
- Best Practices – Network Architecture / Topology
- Best Practices – PTP-specific Network Architecture / Topology
- Best Practices – PTP Configuration
- Best Practices – Commissioning and Operation
- Best Practices – Security Best Practices
- Bibliography
- Annex – Review of PTP

Status at the meeting:

See slide, right.

32NF-80 DG ST 2059 PTP Interoperability Testing

- Working on SMPTe RP 2059-14:202X
 - PTP Best Practices for Professional Media Over Managed IP Networks
 - Meeting about 1 per month
 - Making steady progress
 - Working toward pre-FCD – Target Q2 2026

Study Group on Security in ST 2059-2 Networks

This SG is currently dormant but has been kept open in case a third version of the report is undertaken. It has been investigating vulnerabilities in ST 2059 systems, both malicious and accidental. The group has issued limited-scope incremental reports, whilst collecting topics (in a “backlog”) to add to future releases of the report.

Version 1 of the report is published, **ER 1004**. It focused on the threat landscape.

Version 2 that focuses on threat detection and mitigation strategies has just been published, **ER 1009**.

Status at this meeting:

The group has not been closed, as it is possible that there may be a 3rd report on new security features introduced in IEEE 1588:2019 once the best practice on secure key exchange methods settles down. However, no further work will happen unless a new Chair volunteers for this SG.

Document revision projects (ST 2059 suite)

ST 2059-2 SMPTe Profile for Time and Frequency Synchronization in a Professional Broadcast Environment

Although IEEE 1588:2019 was designed to be backwards compatible with the 2008 version, two issues have been uncovered that impact ST 2059-2:

Mixed unicast/multicast mode delay request message rate signaling

The definition of one field has changed so we intend to recommend how to accommodate that during the transition

ST 2059-2:2021 uses a TLV in a management message with an Organization Extension TLV. In IEEE 1588:2019, management messages are only allowed to use management or management error status TLVs. Organization Extension TLVs (that ST 2059-2 uses) have been deprecated.

In the new revision the group proposes sending the same metadata in a TLV in the announce messages.

One of the participants has submitted a linux implementation that includes the new TLV structure. Other members have used it to test whether it upsets followers; no problems so far

Status at this meeting:

The ST 2059-2 revision is posted for Public Committee Draft - ending no earlier than October 31, 2025 and no later than August 8, 2026 - on [this SMPTE page](#). So far there have been eight issues reported on the above GitHub page. The DG will have a meeting soon to review these comments and discuss ending the PCD and moving the document forward

TLV stands for “**type, length, value.**” It is a generic means to extend a PTP message with some extra information specific to the application. In ST 2059-2 a TLV message is used to send additional timing data e.g. date, leap second, jam time.

ST 2059-2 Revision for IEEE 1588:2019 (PTP V2.1)

- ST 2059-2 revision is currently in PCD
 - PCD review started August 8
 - So far there has been only one issue reported on GitHub for a minor correction
 - Please have a look and leave your feedback



Other Projects Relevant to IAMT Members

We acknowledge that the projects in this section may be important to some members, but we don't routinely attend their Drafting Group meetings. If you think we should attend a specific group's meetings to report in greater depth, let us know!

Dynamic Metadata for Color Volume Transformation

Technology Committee: *Essence*

ST 2094-50 Dynamic Metadata for Color Volume Transformation – Broadcast Application

This standard specifies the metadata for color volume transform for broadcast application. It is a specialization of the content-dependent transform metadata entries and processing blocks of the generalized color volume transform model defined in SMPTE ST 2094-1 Core Components standard. It includes parameters to guide scene-adaptive tone mapping. The work is being aligned with work in W3C.

Status at this meeting:

The document was posted for Public Committee Draft review and has now been revised in the light of comments received.

It has progressed to a pre-DP vote review that closed the end of the meeting week; no comments were received. A DP vote will be requested.

ST 2094-60 Dynamic Metadata for Color Volume Transformation – Dynamic Range Conversion Characterization

Provide metadata to allow characterization of HDR/SDR conversion. Specifically, SDR diffuse white level, SDR reference level, SDR maximum signal level, SDR minimum signal level, HDR diffuse white level, HDR reference level, HDR level for SDR nominal peak level, HDR level for SDR maximum signal level.

Status at this meeting:

The document was published 16 December 2025.

A further revision is underway to convert the standard to html format and then add and SEI message. A GitHub repo will be created for this work.

This color volume transform uses dynamic metadata to characterize conversion between High Dynamic Range (HDR) content and Standard Dynamic Range (SDR) content. The main goal of these metadata is to provide a signaling mechanism to help equipment to automatically select or configure the proper conversion adapted to the content producer's requirements, helping the interoperability and mixing of different equipment and technologies in automated workflows.

It defines five anchor points that characterize the HDR-to-SDR or SDR-to-HDR conversion.

SDR is defined per Rec. ITU-R BT.709. As only luminance is considered, it can be equally applicable to Rec. ITU-R BT.2020. HDR is defined per Rec. ITU-R BT.2100.

RP 2094-61 SDR-HDR Conversion Metadata Characterization Procedure

A single-master workflow produces both SDR and HDR formats with one being the creative master and the other being automatically derived by a specified transform. Further, each individual content in a sequence of such content can be based on a different transform. When one format of a single-master workflow production, whether SDR or HDR, is received, an appropriate inverse transform is needed to properly regenerate the other format. ST 2094-60 Dynamic Range Conversion Characterization Metadata can represent such transforms, however it would be valuable to document

good practices for populating such metadata based on a specified transform. Today, such transforms are primarily achieved using static 3D look-up tables (3D LUTs).

Status at this meeting:

Work is underway.

Revision ST-2094-2 Dynamic Metadata for Color Volume Transform - KLV Encoding and MXF Mapping


This project is for a constrained revision of ST 2094-2 to specify entries for the metadata of newly-published ST 2094-60. To be used in MXF and other UL-based environments, ST 2094-60 metadata must be represented in the SMPTE registers

Status at this meeting:

The html document, incorporating the ST 2094-60 metadata, has been submitted for pre FCD ballot review, closing June 17.

Material Exchange Format (MXF) projects

Technology Committee: *File Formats & Systems*



Perspective: The core MXF documents have been published many years. The suite defines a file format for Video, Audio and associated Data essence, for use in production systems (rather than final delivery).

The main core document is ST 377-1: MXF. Another core document defines the MXF Generic Container (ST 379 Parts 1, 2).

There are several documents defining Operational Patterns (OP's) and multiple documents defining mappings of various essence types into the MXF Generic Container.

MXF standards rely on SMPTE Universal Labels (ULs, maintained in the Metadata and Registers TC) to identify components of the essence in MXF files.

Although there is a huge body of work in the core MXF standards, over the years there has been a steady stream of documents adding functionality.

As an example, when new essence types appear, documents need to be written to define the mapping of that essence into the MXF container. Other examples are: where an existing document can benefit from additional functionality; where interfacing to another format needs to be specified e.g. xml; where existing documents need revisions / amendments to clarify their requirements.

Published Documents:

Too numerous to mention!

New MXF document development projects

TLX and TLC MXF mapping

The whole TLX suite (Extensible Time Label) including new document ST 2134 is covered earlier in this report, [here](#) as TLX is an SMG project.

ST 2131 - Mapping ADM to MXF

Define a means of mapping audio metadata RIFF chunks to MXF with specific consideration of the requirements related to ADM metadata – mapping ST 2067-204 to MXF in the same way that ST 2127-1 maps ST 2067-203 into MXF.

There has been close collaboration & overlap with “35PM DG IMF Audio with Metadata”.

Status at this meeting:

ST 2131 was published in the last quarter; project will close. If you have an interest in this work, there is an EBU ADM implementers group that is free to join.

The Audio Definition Model (ADM) is a standardized (ITU-R BS.2076-2) metadata model for describing the technical properties of audio. ADM metadata can be attached to audio files to ensure the audio is correctly handled. EBU tutorial [here](#).

MGA = Metadata Guided Audio; replaces the term Next Generation Audio (NGA)

- EBU ADM Implementers Group working on interoperability and best practices for ADM and S-ADM (and the MXF/IMF mappings)
- **Open to all:**
<https://tech.ebu.ch/groups/as>

Mapping DPX files into the MXF Generic Container

Project Scope: Specify mapping of a sequence of DPX pictures as defined by SMPTE ST 268-1 and SMPTE ST 268-2 into the MXF Generic Container.

DPX sequence handling could be simpler if wrapped into a container and MXF is the container of choice. MXF+DPX solves many issues for both standards.

Includes:

- Define frame/clip wrapping for SMPTE ST 268-1 & ST 268-2
- Define necessary SMPTE Registry ULs for DPX into MXF identification
- Define basic constraints on DPX sequences that can be mapped into MXF
- Allow future extensions and constraints for specific applications
- Support advanced colorimetry and bit depth as defined in ST 268-2

Status at this meeting:

No progress this quarter.

It is planned to use the public Committee Draft process.

DPX is a file format, long loved by the movie production industry (despite implementations not being fully interoperable). Each DPX file contains just one picture (frame).

MXF Document revision / amendment projects

Revision of ST 436-1 MXF Mappings for VI Lines and Ancillary Data Packets.

Update the normative references and make any additional editorial adjustments required.

Status at this meeting:

The document is now ready for pre FCD ballot review.

Revision ST 2019-4 Mapping VC-3 Coding Units into the MXF Generic Container

This revision will include 2 new profiles that are being added to the main VC-3 standard (**ST 2019-1**) in the Essence committee. It will include improvements and clarifications, plus the roll-up of its Amendment 1.

Status at this meeting:

A little bit more work is needed to complete this work, as there is a dependency on the **ST 2019-1** work in the Essence Technical Committee (which is almost ready).

Revision RP 2057: Text-based metadata carriage in MXF

The document is also being revised in line with SMPTE AG24 – MXF Style Guide. Amongst other things, this adopts a more compact, succinct expression for Universal Labels.

The draft revision of RP 2057 passed FCD ballot on 2018-02-09 with 5 comments to resolve. Normative References have been checked. In the process of revision, differences were identified between smpte-ra & this document; this is being checked.

Status at this meeting:

There has been a change of document editor. The new document editor is working on a roadmap to complete this work. The changes are “almost entirely editorial”.

Revision ST 2073-10 - Mapping VC-5 Video Essence into the MXF Generic Container

Current version does not include capabilities from VC-5 documents published after ST 2073-10 was last published.

The new features that are being included are Layers, Sections and Metadata. It has been decided that all types of metadata defined in ST 2073-7 could be wrapped in new MXF SubDescriptors.

Status at this meeting:

There was no report; the proponent was unable to attend – slide is from last time.

Document Revision Progress

- Editorial changes to the latest draft in the past two quarters:
 - Changing UL format to conform to AG-24 section 5.2
 - Changing table column headings to conform to AG-24 (all of section 5)
- Latest draft package includes revisions to the registry submission
 - Checking the submission using the Registers Submission Analysis Tool
 - Errors: Few errors and warnings due to conflicts with schema
- Continuing to edit the draft document for review by the DG and pre-FCD ballot to elevate the document to CD



The home of media professionals, technologists, and engineers

Revision: RP 268-3 Reference Materials for DPX

Technology Committee: *File Formats & Systems*

These materials support the implementation of RP 268-2.

Status at this meeting:

A floating point 16 bit sample has been created for addition to these reference materials. Pre FCD ballot review will be held shortly.



Working Group: Broadcast eXchange Format (BXF)

Technology Committee: *Media Systems, Control and Services*

<p>Broadcast eXchange Format suite ST 2021</p> <p><i>Perspective: BXF finds application in the “output” end of the broadcast operation – primarily in automation and delivery systems. It is an XML-based system that standardizes exchange of Schedule, As-run and Content-related metadata. The group has an XML AHG.</i></p> <p><i>There seems to be a constant supply of new features that people wish to add to BXF.</i></p> <p><i>New features are added to the suite at regular intervals and these are batched into versions using a numeric version number.</i></p>	
<p>Published Documents:</p> <p><i>RP 2021-1: General Information and Informative Notes</i> <i>ST 2021-2: Protocol</i> <i>EG 2021-3: Use Cases</i> <i>ST 2021-4: Schema Documentation</i> <i>RP 2021-5: Ad-ID / EIDR in BXF</i> <i>RP 2021-6: BXF SDK Documentation</i> <i>RP 2021-9: Implementing BXF</i></p>	<p>New Topic in BXF 8.1 (the latest published version):</p> <p><i>NABA Ad Spot Metadata</i></p>

Status at this meeting:

At the last meeting it was decided that *if no requests are received in the next quarter*, the group will be closed down (with the option to reopen if requests are received). During the meeting, a participant came up with a possible additional requirement. If this follows through with a project proposal, the group can stay open.



Interoperable Master Format

Technology Committee: *Media Packaging and Interchange*

Interoperable Mastering Format – IMF ST 2067 Suite

Perspective: IMF comprises a master set of file-based elements that can create any downstream distribution by using an output profile list and multiple composition playlists.

The master set of files is used as the input to subsequent processing that automatically creates deliverables.

The bulk of IMF work is complete, with publication of the main set of documents.

Issues are continuously collected and discussed under an IMF Issue Triage project. There is a SMPTE 35PM GitHub repository and issues collected contribute to revision work. An IMF bug tracker (used for both bugs and improvement requests) is in operation at: <https://github.com/orgs/SMPTE/teams/35pm> (contact [TC Chairs](#) for access)

Published Documents:

ST 2067-2: IMF – Core Constraints

ST 2067-3: IMF – Composition Playlist

ST 2067-5: IMF – Essence Component

ST 2067-8: IMF – Common Audio Labels

ST 2067-9: IMF – Sidecar Composition Map

ST 2067-20: IMF – Application #2

ST 2067-21: IMF – Application #2E (previous title Application #2 extended)

ST 2067-30: IMF – Application #3

ST 2067-40: IMF – Application #4 Cinema Mezzanine

ST 2067-50: IMF – Application #5 ACES

ST 2067-60: IMF – Application 6 UHD TV program workflow (AVC)

ST 2067-70: IMF – Application VC-3 (ST 2019)

ST 2067-71: IMF – Application VC-6 (ST 2117)

ST 2067-100: IMF – Output Profile List

ST 2067-101: IMF – Output Profile List – Common Image Definitions and Macros

ST 2067-102: IMF – Output Profile List - Common Image Pixel Color Schemes

ST 2067-103: IMF – Output Profile List – Common Audio Definition and Macros

ST 2067-200: IMF – Dynamic Metadata for Color Volume Transform (DMCVT) Plug-in

ST 2067-201: IMF – Immersive Audio Bitstream Level 0 Plug-In

ST 2067-202: IMF – Isochronous Stream of XML Documents (ISXD) Plugin

ST 2067-203: IMF – IMF Audio with Frame-based S-ADM Metadata Plug-in

ST 2067-204: IMF – IMF Audio with ADM Metadata Plug-in

RDD 45: IMF – Application ProRes

RDD 56: Track File for JPEG 2000 Codestreams with Time-Synchronous Metadata (MXF doc, but developed in this group)

RDD 59-1: IMF – Application Constraint DPP (ProRes)

ER 1005 [here](#); Immersive Audio Bitstream in OPL - an engineering report

ER 1006 [here](#); AMWA AS-11 OPL - an engineering report

New IMF Development Projects

IMF Applications

Revision ST 2067-72 IMF Application VC-5

IMF Application for VC-5 image essence was limited to the capabilities of the VC-5 MXF wrapper specified in ST 2073-10; both are being updated to include capabilities that have been added to the suite of VC-5 documents.

Status at this meeting:

The revised draft has been submitted to the TC Chairs for pre-DP vote review. There was no further report at this meeting.

OPL – Output Profile List**Status at this meeting:**

The DG calls have been focusing on revision of ST 2067-101; see revision projects **below**.

OPL = Output Profile List.
ST 2067-100 is the main framework document, others in the 100 series define particular OPL features

ST 2067-104 – OPL Composite and Blend Macros

This new document develops the processing macros for image composite and blending between a foreground and a background plate with an alpha (channel) image to control the operation. The macros are part of the IMF OPL framework defined by ST 2067-100.

Status at the meeting:

No significant progress this quarter.

ST 2067-105 – OPL Output Macros

This new document develops the image and audio output macros for the IMF OPL framework defined by ST 2067-100. This project will add a set of output macros based on the AMWA AS-11 in OPL report (SMPTE ER 1006) and IAB in OPL report (SMPTE ER 1005) including the generation of ISO BMFF (QuickTime), TTML, AMWA AS-11, PCM essence in ISO BMFF (QuickTime) and immersive audio in BWF+ADM files.

Status at this meeting:

Completion will follow Parts 101 (revision) and 104.

ST 2067-106 – OPL EssenceType Transform Macros

This new document develops the essence type transform macros for timed-text rasterization and immersive audio bitstream (IAB) conversion. The macros are part of the IMF OPL framework defined by ST 2067-100.

Status at this meeting:

Completion will follow Parts 101 (revision) and 104.

IMF Audio with Metadata**ST 2067-203 - IMF Audio with Frame-based S-ADM Metadata Plug-in**

Draft a standard for an IMF Plug-in for adding Metadata-Guided Audio (MGA) signals with S-ADM metadata as Virtual Tracks to IMF compositions.

Status at this meeting:

Published. Kept in list as it relates to ST 2067-204.

ST 2067-204 IMF Audio with ADM Metadata Plug-in

Develop a standard for an Interoperable Master Format plug-in to allow ADM (Audio Definition Model, ITU-R BS.2076) metadata to be carried alongside PCM essence in IMF compositions, where the Track Files used are Audio Track Files (SMPTE ST 2067-2) augmented by ADM metadata. A plugfest was held **for ST 2067-204** and test vectors are available [here](#). A very productive joint meeting with the ST 2131 group in TC-31FS was held, as this project is closely bound with that one.

Status at this meeting:

ST 2067-204 was published 2 June 2026 and the part 203 and part 204 projects will be removed from this report next time. At the meeting it was reported that an EBU implementers group plugfest was in progress.


IMF Other

ST 2067-206 IMF Event-based, Text-based, Metadata Plug-in

Develop a standard for an Interoperable Master Format (IMF) plug-in to add event-based, text-based metadata to IMF Compositions, including an optional XML/JSON schema for generic event-based metadata.

Status at this meeting:

This document was posted for Final Committee Draft ballot that closed 9 June 16, 2026 (the day of the meeting). The vote passed with no comments, so it automatically has Draft Publication status and can proceed to Standards Committee Audit.



ST 2067- 4 IMF - Virtual Track Fingerprint

Define a method for computing a unique identifier for the contents of a virtual track in an IMF Composition Playlist.

Status at this meeting:

The editor has succeeded in recovering the repo for the project. Progress can resume with the Committee Draft.

IMF Document Revision / Amendment Projects

Revision: ST 2067-101 OPL Image Macros

Revision to clarify the handling of images that are: i) chroma-subsampled; ii) Interlaced; and iii) stereoscopic.

This project also adds new common image processing macros to ST 2067-101:2018 including 3x3 matrix, 1D LUT (Look Up Table), named transfer function decode/encode and named color space conversion.

Status at this meeting:

See details on slide (right).

OPL DG – Status Report

No DG calls in 2026 Q2

- Focused on ST 2067-101 Revision
- John Hurst implementation (as reported in March meeting):
 - CLF (10E ST 2136) – 3x3 matrix, ASC CDL SOP, 1D-LUT, 3D-LUT
 - Colorspace conversion Macros
 - Added Standard OPL Processor Exceptions
- Next step: preparation of GitHub repo for WD TC review before Public CD
- Preview: Processor Exceptions belong in ST 2067-100 OPL Core
 - New project will be proposed after ST 2067-101 Revision Public CD
- Plan a DG call on a Thursday @ 8:00 AM PT to achieve WD status

Revision: ST 2067-21 IMF – Application #2E

Status at this meeting:

This revision was posted for a public committee draft review period **here** that ended December 1, 2025 (document still visible). It was posted for Final Committee Draft Ballot that closed 14 April 2026 with no comments. The vote passed with no comments, so the document automatically has Draft Publication status and can proceed to Standards Committee Audit.

Revision: ST 2067-201 – IAB (Immersive Audio Bitstream) Level0 Plug-in

Status at this meeting:

This document was published 25 March 2026 and the project will be closed.



Archive eXchange Format projects (ST 2034 suite)

<p>Archive eXchange Format</p> <p><i>The diagram (right) is a high-level view of the AXF hardware/software stack from an AXF-aware application down to media read/write.</i></p> <p><i>Part 1 has also been published by ISO as a Publicly Available Specification, ISO/IEC DIS 12034-1. This extends the usefulness of the document beyond the normal SMPTE audience.</i></p>	
<p><i>Perspective: AXF Part 1 was published August 2014 and a revision published March 2017. It is a 101-page standard.</i></p> <p><i>It was designed to support all foreseeable needs for media archive over a variety of storage formats. There has been support for the format from both the technology supplier community and from the user community.</i></p> <p>Progress in this group is very, very slow despite bi-weekly meetings!</p>	
<p>Published Document:</p> <p>ST 2034-1 Archive Exchange Format (AXF) - Part 1: Structure & Semantics First revision published March 2017. This revision was started to correct syntax errors in XSD file</p>	

Technology Committee: File Formats & Systems

Revision ST 2034-1 Archive Exchange Format (AXF) - Structure & Semantics

Revision was started to correct syntax errors in the XSD file. Then, a requirement arose to add a “conditional any” function for extensibility that was identified in the development of Part 2. Extension metadata is included. Items include namespace, create date/time, update date/time version. This function requires a namespace and has the

WG 31FS30 Continuing Activities

- Preparation of ST 2034-1 Rev 1:2017 for Conversion to HTML
 - Archive eXchange Format (AXF) –
 - Republication in HTML Format
- Preparation of ST 2034-1 Rev 2 for Update in HTML Format
- Development of ST 2034-2
 - AXF for Workflows
- Development of AXF Part 1 Open-Source Code

capability to define any attribute within the namespace.

ST 2034-2 Archive Exchange Format (AXF) - External Uses of XML Schema

Part 2 covers the use of AXF Structures in “Unwrapped” form, enabling aggregation of files into a “Bundle”. The schema can serve as a manifest, and it can apply hierarchical structure to files. It is intended for use from file capture on set through to archive input. “External Uses” applies to the processes upstream from the archive; in other words, making use of this schema in the production workflow.

Status at this meeting (both parts):

There was only a short verbal report. The main activity is the conversion of the existing published ST 2034-1 to html, as the document development pipeline has changed from WORD to html. The slide above is slightly wrong; ST 2034-1 will not be republished. The html version will simply be the starting point for the rev 2 work. Some improvements to existing diagrams are required. Weekly meetings continue.

AXFlib – Open-Source Toolkit for AXF

Status at this meeting:

This work was not covered in this meeting (suggesting there is a rethink on the toolkit plan).

Individual Topics

Projects that are not grouped together in the sections above

VC-3 Compression Standard – VC-3 Improvements and New Profiles

Technology Committee: Essence

Status at this meeting (both documents):

See slides, right. The DP elevation vote was held at the meeting. The vote passed. There will be an additional project to update the VC-3 MXF mapping (in the File Formats Committee).

Revision : ST 2019-1 – VC-3 Compression

This revision will add 2 new profiles which will allow usage of RGB signals in other quality bitrates, such as HQ and SQ. This is a fully backward compatible change. The text will be improved for clarity to improve interoperability. The existing Amendment 1 will be rolled-up into the standard. Public CD was used.

ST 2019-1

- Had a successful DP Vote
 - March 25th to April 8th
 - [Link to vote](#)
- A late comment was received
 - Not editorial in nature, although a mistake
 - The DG worked in a solution
- A new FCD ballot was held
 - May 1st to May 22nd
- No comments were received
- Document moving into ST Audit



The home of media professionals, technologists, and engineers

Revision : RP 2019-2 – VC-3 Reference Materials

Clarifications required to resolve some ambiguities in the current VC-3 standard are to be reflected in the reference software. New profiles for RGB signal handling required for supporting graphics dedicated workflows will generate new test materials, which the group will produce in full. Public CD was used.

RP 2019-2 pre-DP Review completed

- Document has undergone pre-DP review
 - March 31st to April 14th
 - [Link to review results](#)
- Received 15 comments
 - All comments were resolved
- We believe the document is ready for publication
 - Motion to elevate to DP expected



The home of media professionals, technologists, and engineers

ST 2136 suite - Common Look-up-table (LUT) Format

Technology Committee: Essence

The Common LUT Format (CLF) can communicate an arbitrary chain of color operators (also called processing nodes) which are sequentially processed to achieve an end result.

The work is based on an existing CLF specification developed by the Academy (AMPAS), available at

<https://docs.acescentral.com/specifications/clf>

An object model and an xml schema are included.

The diagram (right) from the document is an example of a ProcessList containing a sequence of multiple ProcessNode instances.

Current projects:

ST 2136-1: Common LUT Format – Core Specification

Status at this meeting:


Published in the last quarter. Retained here to provide context for the broadcast profiles.

ST 2136-10: Common LUT Format - Broadcast Profiles

Devices and software used in live broadcast are limited in processing ability – this profile should limit the allowed processingList to a profile that is implementable in real-time devices and software. Its use is not limited to such devices or software.

Status at this meeting:

Public CD comment period closed May 5, 2026 with 4 issues raised. The document and issues may still be viewed [here](#). The document will proceed to FCD ballot when those issues are resolved.



ST 2135 JSON Representation of SMPTE Registered Data (RegJSON)

Technology Committee: File Formats & Systems

This work is expected to assist with several other projects such as the microservices group's **ST 2125** that are hampered by lack of a referenceable standard for JSON Schema

Specify an isomorphic (reversible) mapping of SMPTE metadata to JSON, following the approach of defining mapping rules and normative schemas as employed for ST 2001 XML Representation of SMPTE Registered Data (Reg-XML). The public CD process will be used.

Status at this meeting:

It was agreed that this work should “hibernate” until the revision of ST 2001, RegXML, has materialized.

RP 2130 - Measurement Methods for Resolution Characteristics of Camera Systems

Technology Committee: Essence

To help facilitate the maintenance and operation of studio equipment, this project will document measurement methods for the spatial resolution characteristics of camera systems. Specifically, to measure the Modulation Transfer Function (MTF). The previous standard for measurement of television camera resolution was IEEE 208, which has been withdrawn as it was out of date, did not apply to HDTV or UHDTV systems and had known problems.


This project will document a replacement for IEEE 208 that reflects current measurement technology and is independent of image format.

There are two Basic Measurement Techniques:

- Megacycle Chart – Calibrated Spatial Frequencies of Bar Patterns
- Slanted Edge Method – Involves Horizontal & Vertical Slanted Edges + Mathematical Analysis For Motion Imaging Applications, a Secondary Mathematical Factor is Applied.

Status at this meeting:

Passed FCD ballot 15th January 2026 with 45 comments to resolve. Responses have all been prepared. The Drafting Group Chair presented 3 high-resolution graphics that have been developed as part of the comment resolution (one is shown above). Areas of overlap have been incorporated between the wedges. A second FCD ballot on the revised document will be held shortly, as considerable changes have been incorporated.



Visible Difference Predictors

This is a field of research that covers various displays, including VR headsets

Technology Committee: Essence


Status at this meeting:

The three main documents, see below, have made fast progress and have completed Final Committee Draft Ballot (FCD). Responses are done and a Drafting Group call will be held to discuss.

Status:

Visible Difference Predictors (VDP) Image Metrics in SMPTE

- The proponents agreed to have one project number for the overall VDP effort with individual subgroups for various metrics, such as ColorVideoVDP, using the ST 2094:2016 model.
- The "Visible Difference Predictors" project suite has been assigned standards number ST 2144 and drafting group formed.
- A summary of the currently approved projects and next steps are shown in the following slide.



Current Projects:

ST 2144-1 Visible Difference Predictors: Definition

Visible Difference Predictors (VDP) are *perception-based* and are a class of data-driven, white box, efficiently implemented image and video difference metrics. They model important aspects of perception like spatial and temporal vision, foveation, etc. and are calibrated on datasets relevant for display and graphics applications. This document specifies common definitions, including a high-level architecture, characteristics of the inputs given to the metric, the output generated by it, and the Just Observable Difference (JOD) metric.

Status at this meeting:

FCD ballot closed April 4th 2026 with 21 comments.

ST 2144-10 Visible Difference Predictors: ColorVideo VDP

ColorVideoVDP (CVVDP) is an instance of a visible difference predictor metric. It is a video and image quality metric that models spatial and temporal aspects of vision for both luminance and color. The metric is built on novel psychophysical models of chromatic spatiotemporal contrast sensitivity and cross-channel contrast masking.

This document provides a description of specific VDP metrics that address target applications in imaging. Specifically, this metric, ColorVideoVDP, is focused on a spatio-temporal color-aware metric.

Status at this meeting:

FCD ballot closed April 4th 2026 with 19 comments.

ST 2144-20 Visible Difference Predictors: FoVVideo VDP

Provides a description of specific VDP metrics that address target applications in imaging. Specifically, this metric, FovVideoVDP is focused on a spatio-temporal foveation-aware metric.

Status at this meeting:

FCD ballot closed April 4th 2026 with 10 comments.

RP 2144-100 Visible Difference Predictors: Subjective Validation

This document discusses how metrics can be effectively combined with subjective testing by providing reference data sets and source code for high-confidence assessments and validation.

Status at this meeting:

On hold until other drafts have progressed through the standards process.



Metadata Projects

This is a listing of projects underway in the Metadata Technology Committee

Most of the work concerns the use of SMPTE Universal Labels (ST 298)

Revision of the four definitive standards to accomodate the move to xml-based registers on SMPTE-RA.org:

ST 335 Metadata Element Dictionary Structure

ST 395 Metadata Groups Register Structure

ST 400 SMPTE Labels Structure

ST 2003 Types Dictionary Structure

Regular update to the metadata register, ST 2123

Current release is code-named "Balsamico"; The next release for ballot, "Vegemite", is ready to be posted for ballot; a project is being created

UMID-related Standards

ST 330 – UMID – revision published

RP 205 – UMID Applications – revision was complete and being prepared for publication – though some issues found during preparation have made a rebalot at FCD necessary.

UUID File Naming

Study Group exploring ways to unify the application of UUIDs to files, primarily as file names

AI Metadata Projects described [here](#) in this report (they were initiated by work in the AI task force)



Other Projects in Brief

Items are covered in brief for a variety of reasons, including:

- They may be on the point of publication, so worth being aware of but not justifying investment of time covering them in detail
- It may not be clear that they are of interest to IABM members - **contact us** if there's a project here (or that you find in one of **SMPTE's all-projects reports**) that you would like to see covered in more detail and we will attend the telecons
- The technology may be important, but few of our members are actually likely to implement it (they may licence it instead of developing it)
- Progress is slow and/or the project seems unlikely to achieve adoption (even if it completes)

Active Format Description – SMPTE 2016 suite


Document revision project

ST 2016-1 - Format for Active Format Description and Bar Data

Add UHD formats to ST 2016-1

Status at this meeting:

It was agreed that the current revision draft will be used for FCD ballot, and it has been posted to the TC. The document editor needs to find that version of the revised document (I have sent him what I think is the correct version). It includes the UHD TV formats, but there has been no redefinition of four reserved bits as consensus could not be achieved.

	<h3>Active Format Description</h3>
<p><i>Perspective: This suite of documents was published and has been in use for many years. It was reopened to add details of UHD formats but that work has taken a very long time.</i></p>	
<h3>Published Documents:</h3>	
<p>ST 2016-1 - Format for Active Format Description and Bar Data ST 2016-2 - Format for Pan-Scan Information ST 2016-3 - Vertical Ancillary Data Mapping of Active Format Description and Bar Data ST 2016-4 - Vertical Ancillary Data Mapping of Pan-Scan Information ST 2016-5 - KLV Coding for Active Format Description, Bar Data and Pan-Scan Information (<i>document withdrawn</i>)</p>	

ST 2046-1 - Safe Areas for Television

Document Revision Project

Status at this meeting:

The Chair of this group hopes to complete this revision by the next meeting in September.



Reference Display and Environment for Critical Viewing of Television Pictures - SMPTE 2080 suite

Perspective: I have moved these projects to “projects in brief” because the group Chair has been unable to progress for some while.

New document project

“New” in the sense that it is not a revision.

RP 2080-4: Measurement Procedures for Characterization of HDTV Displays

The project will define the procedures, conditions and rules applicable for measuring the parameters of an HDTV Reference Display.

Status at this meeting:

The project Chair explained that this project will need to be closed, probably at the next meeting; there are no longer resources to complete it. There is a plan to pull out the reflectance test into a separate document (project already approved).

Document revision project

RP 2080-2 Measurement and Calibration Procedure for HDTV Display Luminance Levels and Chromaticity

During development of RP 2080-4, it became apparent to the DG that there were errors in the line numbers of the test patterns in RP 2080-2. The patterns also need to be modified to add copyright notices and define risetimes. Also, the specified alternate white point for certain regions (9300K) should be changed to D93 and the x,y coordinates changed to those in use for that region. Spreadsheet RP 2080-2a needs to be modified to incorporate this change as well. References need to be updated.

Status at this meeting:

No report.

RPxxxx: Measurement of Video Display Reflectance

Define a method of measuring the reflectance of a video display that is consistent with how it will be used in the reference viewing environment defined in SMPTE ST 2080-3.

Status at this meeting:

It is planned that this project will proceed, extracting the section from RP 2080-4.



Reference Display and Environment

Perspective: This project has taken many years and a variety of approaches to get here! But the hard work and determination of proponents has resulted in a suite of documents that has progressed one-by-one to publication.

The project started life with the brief to “maintain consistent appearance in broadcast monitoring applications in the post-CRT era”. The fact remains that a means of getting consistent appearance for mastering the range of screen technologies we now have is of paramount importance.

Note that this suite does NOT tackle HDR/WCG.

Published Documents:

*ST 2080-1: Reference White Luminance Level and Chromaticity for HDTV
Published Q1 2015, revision items identified*

*RP 2080-2: Measurement and Calibration Procedure for HDTV Display Luminance Levels and Chromaticity (only deals with parameters that can be regularly adjusted)
Published Q1 2015, Revision project set up*

*ST 2080-3: Reference Viewing Environment Characteristics
Published Q2 2017*

ST 2128 - IPT-PQ color representation

Prior to standardization of color representation ICtCp in ITU-R BT.2100, an alternative – IPT-PQ - was used by many major OTT distributors. It is important to these OTT distributors that these assets are labeled as utilizing the IPT-PQ color representation in two variants (scope now modified to only cover IPT-PQ-C2 and not IPT-PQ-C0), and that the characteristics are standardized.

Status at this meeting:

The document passed Draft Publication vote 2 June 2026 and will now be submitted for Standards Committee Audit.



ST 12-4 - UTC Aligned Timecode

Develop algorithms and methods to accurately relate the timecode date, time, and metadata to PTP referenced time for both integer and fraction frame rates

My personal perspective on this is that it is something of an intellectual exercise to align (not synchronize) timecode material from multiple timezones around the world.

Status at this meeting:

The document passed an extended FCD ballot 30th September 2025 with 29 comments to resolve. At the time of this meeting, 19 comments await resolution.



To find out more about SMPTE standards developments:

- Consider joining IABM's **Standards Monitoring Group** (member benefit)
- Consider joining SMPTE's **Standards Community** (attend SMPTE standards meetings, \$500 pa)
- Both!

Recent SMPTE Engineering Document Publications

NOTE: SMPTE Engineering Documents are free to SMPTE members and can be located from the member portal. That is good news! However, SMPTE has recently changed its e-commerce supplier and that has resulted in the loss of functionality for non-members to buy SMPTE Engineering documents – it is necessary to contact SMPTE and they will make arrangements to supply the required documents. Not ideal!

If you have trouble locating the document you need, **let me know** and I will try to find it for you. Hopefully, the search facility will improve!

Engineering Reports (SMPTE ER xxxx) are freely available [here](#).

Technology Committee publications in the last quarter

SMPTE's recent publications page [here](#)

10E Essence

New Standard: ST 2136-1:2026 Common LUT Format — Core Specification
Published 2026-03-20

27C Cinema

New Engineering Guideline: EG 428-23:2026-04 D-Cinema Distribution Master — Mastering Guideline for Japanese Timed Text
Published 2026-03-20

30MR Metadata & Registers

31FS File Formats & Systems

New Standard: ST 2131:2026-05 Mapping Audio Definition Model (ADM) and other audio metadata RIFF Chunks to MXF
Published 2026-05-14

32NF Network & Facilities Architecture

New Standard: ST 2120-1:2026-03 Extensible Time Label - Structure
Published 2026-04-18

34CS Media Systems, Control & Services

35PM Media Packaging & Interchange

Revision: ST 2067-201:2026-03 Interoperable Master Format — Immersive Audio Bitstream Level 0 Plug-in
Published 2026-04-18

New Standard: ST 2067-204:2026-05 Interoperable Master Format — Audio with ADM Metadata Plug-in
Published 2026-06-02

Overview Documents

SMPTE often publishes “suites” of related standards documents all under one “root” number. When this is done, an “Overview Document” is usually created that introduces the various parts in the suite and how the parts are intended to fit together.

Overview documents are numbered with the letters OV followed by the suite number and then “-0” – so they are sometimes referred to as Part 0 documents.

These documents are free to the public.

SMPTE Public Committee Drafts

Linked appropriately throughout this report and freely available [here](#).

SMPTE Standards Process and abbreviations

The standards process is governed by the SMPTE Standards Operations Manual (OM), supported by a number of Administrative Guidelines – all available [here](#).

[Link to SMPTE Standards Projects database](#)

SMPTE Technology Committees (TCs)

TCs develop and maintain engineering documents relevant to Television, Broadband, Film, Digital Cinema and Cinema Sound Systems.

They are set up by the Standards Vice President (SVP) and overseen by the Standards Committee (ST).

There are currently TCs covering:

- (Media) Essence - TC-10E
- Metadata & Registers - TC-30MR
- File Formats & Systems - TC 31FS
- Network / Facilities Architecture - TC-32NF
- Media Systems, Control & Architecture - TC-34CS
- Media Packaging & Interchange - TC-35PM

There is also a TC covering Cinema – TC-27C that is not covered by the IAMT report as it is largely out-of-scope.

Sub-groups within Technology Committees

There may be Working Groups (WGs), Study Groups (SGs), Drafting Groups (DGs), Ad-Hoc Groups (AHGs).

SMPTE document types:

- | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ST = Standard | RP = Recommended Practice |
| EG = Engineering Guideline | RDD = Registered Disclosure Document |
| ER = Engineering Report | TSP = Technical Specification (discontinued, converted to RDDs) |

SMPTE document development process

The process usually consists of the development of a Working Draft, its acceptance by the parent Technology Committee as a Committee Draft and one or more ballots to raise it to Final Committee Draft status. Then, when all ballot comments are resolved, a vote is held to raise the document to Draft Publication status. The final step is an Audit by the Standards Committee to ensure that due process has been followed. The document is then published. Note that for Public CD release, the document is developed as far as CD and made public for a period before returning to the publication process with any public comments available for inclusion.

Document progress stages and abbreviations:

- | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| WD = Working Draft | CD = Committee Draft and pCD is for CD with public exposure |
| FCD = Final Committee Draft | DP = Draft Publication |
| ST Audit is a due process check by the Standards Committee, following DP and prior to publication | |

WD > CD requires drafting group approval and 2-week review in the TC.

CD > FCD requires an FCD ballot. The ballot allows comments to be made, and these comments must be resolved. Ballots must reach Numeric Consensus (Yes votes at least 1/3 eligible voters) as well as achieving Yes votes at least 2x No votes.

FCD > DP requires a DP vote; if comments are made, they do not have to be resolved.

DP > Publication requires an ST Audit and review of the planned published version by the proponent and TC Chair.